UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

JERROLL SANDERS,

Plaintiff,

v.

KELLY SENNHOLZ, ET. AL.,

Defendant.

_________________________________/

Case No. 17-10578

SENIOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
ARTHUR J. TARNOW

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
ELIZABETH A. STAFFORD

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

On February 17, 2017, Plaintiff filed a *pro se* complaint against Defendants alleging conspiracy to commit theft through conversion, conspiracy to infringe upon copyright, conspiracy to defame, defamation by implication and association, and breach of contract. Plaintiff is seeking damages for theft of intellectual property in the amount of $5,000,000. Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss on April 17, 2017 [13], arguing that Plaintiff had failed to state a claim and that the Court had no personal jurisdiction over any of the Defendants. Plaintiff filed a Motion for Emergency Injunctive Relief on April 21, 2017 [14].

When addressing a Motion for Injunctive Relief, one of the elements the Court considers is whether the Plaintiff has a strong likelihood of success on the merits. *Gonzales v. Nat'l Bd. of Med. Exam'rs*, 225 F.3d 620, 625 (6th Cir. 2000). Before addressing the merits of the case, the Court needs to address the procedural issues raised in the Motion to Dismiss to determine if the Court has jurisdiction over the Defendants and if the Plaintiff has properly plead their claims.

Accordingly, Plaintiff is **HEREBY ORDERED** to show cause why the Court should not grant Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and for a lack of personal jurisdiction over the Defendants. The statement must be filed on or before **May 12, 2017**. Defendants will have the opportunity to reply to this statement on or before **May 26, 2017**.

SO ORDERED.

s/Arthur J. Tarnow
Arthur J. Tarnow
Senior United States District Judge

Dated: April 28, 2017